arXiv:1701.08220v1 [math.CO] 27 Jan 2017

Transversals in generalized Latin squares

János Barát
University of Pannonia, Department of Mathematics
8200 Veszprém, Egyetem utca 10., Hungary
and
MTA-ELTE Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group
H–1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, Hungary
barat@cs.elte.hu
and
Zoltán Lóránt Nagy *
MTA–ELTE Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group
H–1117 Budapest, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, Hungary
nagyzoli@cs.elte.hu

Abstract

We are seeking a sufficient condition that forces a transversal in a generalized Latin square. A generalized Latin square of order n is equivalent to a proper edge-coloring of $K_{n,n}$. A transversal corresponds to a multicolored perfect matching. Akbari and Alipour defined $l(n)$ as the least integer such that every properly edge-colored $K_{n,n}$, which contains at least $l(n)$ different colors, admits a multicolored perfect matching. They conjectured that $l(n) \leq n^2/2$ if n is large enough. In this note we prove that $l(n)$ is bounded from above by $0.75n^2$ if $n > 1$. We point out a connection to anti-Ramsey problems. We propose a conjecture related to a well-known result by Woolbright and Fu, that every proper edge-coloring of K_{2n} admits a multicolored 1-factor.

Keywords: Latin squares, transversals, Anti-Ramsey problems, Lovász local lemma

1 Multicolored matchings and generalized Latin squares

A subgraph H of an edge-colored host graph G is *multicolored* if the edges of H has different colors. The study of *multicolored* (also called *rainbow, heterochromatic*) subgraphs dates back to the 1960's. However, the special case of finding multicolored perfect matchings in complete bipartite graphs was first studied much earlier by Euler in the language of Latin squares. Since then this branch of combinatorics, especially the mentioned special case, has been flourishing. Several excellent surveys were dedicated to the subject, see [8–10, 14].

In this paper we mainly focus on the case when the host graph is a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$, and the multicolored subgraph in view is a perfect matching (*1-factor*). There is a natural constraint on the coloring: it has to be proper.

These conditions can be reformulated in the language of Latin squares. A *Latin square* of order n is an $n \times n$ matrix, which has n different symbols as entries, and each symbol appears exactly once in each row and in each column. A *generalized* Latin square of order n is an $n \times n$ matrix, in which each symbol appears at most once in each row and in each column. A *diagonal* of a

*Research supported by OTKA Grant No. K 120154

generalized Latin square of order n is a set of entries, which contains exactly one representative from each row and column. If the symbols are all different in a diagonal, then we call it a *transversal*.
Generalized Latin squares correspond to properly edge-colored complete bipartite graphs, while transversals correspond to multicolored 1-factors (perfect matchings). The so called *partial transversals* correspond to multicolored matchings. This intimate relation allows us to use the concept of symbols and colors interchangeably.

It is known that there exist Latin squares without a transversal. One might think that using more symbols should help finding a transversal. Therefore, it is natural to seek the sufficient number of symbols. We recall the following

Definition 1.1 (Akbari and Alipour [1])**.** *Let $l(n)$ be the least number of symbols that forces a transversal in any generalized Latin square of order n that contains at least $l(n)$ symbols.*

In the terminology of matchings, they asked the threshold for the number $l(n)$ of colors such that any proper l-coloring of $K_{n,n}$ contains a multicolored perfect matching if $l \geq l(n)$. Notice that the function $l(n)$ is not obviously monotone increasing.

Akbari and Alipour determined $l(n)$ for small n: $l(1) = 1, l(2) = l(3) = 3, l(4) = 6$. They also proved that $l(n) \geq n + 3$ for $n = 2^a - 2$ $(2 < a \in \mathbb{N})$. They posed the following

Conjecture 1.2 (Akbari and Alipour [1])**.** *$l(n) - n$ is not bounded if $n \to \infty$, while $l(n) \leq n^2/2$ if $n > 2$.*

Our main contribution is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *$l(n) \leq 0.75n^2$ if $n > 1$.*

Although we conjecture that $l(n) = o(n^2)$, we must mention that if we relax the settings by allowing symbols to appear more than once in the columns, then there exist $n \times n$ transversal-free matrices for all n which contain $n^2/2 + O(n)$ symbols [3].

The paper is built up as follows. In Section 2 we show the connection of the problem to a classical Erdős–Spencer result. We prove an upper bound on $l(n)$ using a refined variant of the Lovász local lemma. We present the proof of Theorem 1.3 which is mainly built on König's theorem. Finally, in Section 3, we propose the study of a function similar to $l(n)$, and investigate the relation to certain Anti-Ramsey problems.

2 Two approaches to bound the number of symbols

2.1 Lovász local lemma

It is a classical application of the Lovász local lemma (LLL) that there exists a transversal in an $n \times n$ matrix if no color appears more than $\frac{1}{4e}n$ times. In fact, Erdős and Spencer [7] weakened the conditions of LLL by introducing the so called lopsided dependency graph G of the events, on which the following holds for every event E_i and every subfamily $\mathcal{F}$ of events $\{E_j : j \notin N_G[i]\}$:

$$P(E_i \mid \cap_{j \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{E_j}) \leq P(E_i),$$

where $N_G[i]$ denotes the closed neighborhood of vertex i in graph G. Under this assumption, it is enough to show the existence of an assignment $i \longmapsto (\mu_i > 0)$ which fulfill

$$P(E_i) \leq \frac{\mu_i}{\sum_{S \subseteq N_G[i]} \prod_{j \in S} \mu_j} \tag{2.1}$$

to obtain $P(\cap_i \overline{E_i}) > 0$.

Applying the ideas of Scott and Sokal [11]; Bissacot, Fernández, Procacci and Scoppola [4] observed that LLL remains valid if the summation in Inequality 2.1 is restricted to those sets S which are independent in G.

Let $c(a_{ij})$ denote the number of occurrences of the symbol a_{ij} in an $n \times n$ array A $(n > 1)$. Let $c_{i*}(A)$ and $c_{*j}(A)$ measure the average occurrence in row i and column j as

$$c_{i*}(A) = \left(\sum_t c(a_{it}) \right) - n \text{ and } c_{*j}(A) = \left(\sum_t c(a_{tj}) \right) - n.$$

It can be viewed as some kind of weight-function on the rows and columns, where the weight is zero only if all entries admit uniquely occurring colors.

We follow the proof of the improvement on the Erdős-Spencer result in [4]. We show that $P(\cap_v \overline{E_v}) > 0$ holds for the set of events $\{E_v\}$ that a random diagonal contains a particular pair v of monochromatic entries. Here $|N_G[v]|$ in the lopsided dependency graph G depends only on the number of monochromatic pairs (v, v') of entries, which shares (at least) one row or column with an entry from both v and v'. Thus if v consists of a_{ij} and a_{kl}, then $|N_G[v]| \leq c_{i*}(A) + c_{*j}(A) + c_{k*}(A) + c_{*l}(A)$. Also if $w, w' \in N_G[v]$ covers the same row from $\{i, k\}$ or column from $\{j, l\}$ then w and w' are adjacent in G.

If we set $\mu_v := \mu \ \forall v$, then it is enough to provide a μ such that

$$P(E_v) = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \leq \frac{\mu_v}{\sum\limits_{S \subseteq N_G[v], S \text{ indep.}} \prod\limits_{j \in S} \mu_j} = \frac{\mu}{\sum\limits_{S \subseteq N_G[v],\ S \text{ indep.}} \mu^{|S|}}$$

Consequently, it is enough to set μ in such a way that

$$\frac{\mu}{\sum\limits_{S \subseteq N_G[v],\ S \text{ indep.}} \mu^{|S|}} > \frac{\mu}{(1 + c_{i*}(A)\mu)(1 + c_{*j}(A)\mu)(1 + c_{k*}(A)\mu)(1 + c_{*l}(A)\mu)} \geq \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$$

holds.

It is easy to see that $(1 + U\mu)(1 + V\mu) \leq (1 + \frac{U+V}{2}\mu)^2$ for all $U, V \in \mathbb{R}$, hence

$$\frac{\mu}{(1 + \overline{c_v}\mu)^4} \geq \frac{1}{n(n-1)}$$

implies the required condition, where

$$\overline{c_v} := \frac{c_{i*}(A) + c_{*j}(A) + c_{k*}(A) + c_{*l}(A)}{4}.$$

Thus if we set $\mu := \frac{1}{3\overline{c_v}}$, we obtain the following

Proposition 2.1. *There always exists a transversal in a generalized Latin square unless*

$$\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^3 (c_{i*}(A) + c_{*j}(A) + c_{k*}(A) + c_{*l}(A)) > n(n-1) \tag{2.2}$$

holds for a pair of monochromatic entries a_{ij} and a_{kl}.

Corollary 2.2. $l(n) \leq (1 - \frac{27}{256})n^2 + \frac{27}{256}n \approx 0.895n^2$ *if* $n > 1$.

Proof. Observe that $n^2 - c_{i*}(A)$ or $n^2 - c_{*j}(A)$ bounds from above the number of colors in A for all $i, j \in [1, n]$. Consequently, if the number of colors is at least $(1 - \frac{27}{256})n^2 + \frac{27}{256}n$, then

$$\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^3 c_{i*}(A) \leq \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - n) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^3 c_{*j}(A) \leq \frac{1}{4}(n^2 - n)$$

for every row i and column j, which in turn provides the existence of a transversal according to Proposition 2.1. □

Remark 2.3. *Note that while the proof of Erdős and Spencer points out the existence of one frequently occurring symbol, the proof above reveals that in fact many symbols must occur frequently to avoid a transversal.*

2.2 Using König's theorem

We start with a lemma on the structure of partial transversals, which is essentially the consequence of the greedy algorithm. The following easy observation is due to Stein [12].

Result 2.4. *Consider r rows in a generalized Latin square A of order n. If $\frac{n+1}{2} \geq r$, then there exists a partial transversal of order r in A covering the r rows in view.*

Lemma 2.5. *Consider p rows and q columns in an $n \times n$ generalized Latin square. If $q \leq p \leq (n+1)/2$, then either*
(Case (a)) $q \leq p/2$ and there exists a partial transversal of size p covering the p rows and q columns, or
(Case (b)) $q > p/2$ and there exists a partial transversal of size $\lfloor p/2 \rfloor + q$ covering the p rows and q columns.

Proof. Both parts follow from the fact that we can choose $\min\{q, \lfloor p/2 \rfloor\}$ entries in the array formed by the intersection of the p rows and q columns and we can complete it greedily by Result 2.4. □

We proceed by recalling a variant of König's theorem, see Brualdi, Ryser [5].

Lemma 2.6. *There exists an all-1 diagonal in a 0/1 square matrix of order n if and only if there does not exist an all-0 submatrix of size $x \times y$, where $x + y \geq n + 1$.*

Now we prove another upper bound on $l(n)$.

Theorem 2.7. *If a generalized Latin square of order n contains at least $0.75n^2$ symbols, then it has a transversal.*

Proof. First notice that the statement holds for $n = 1, 2$. We proceed by induction. Consider a generalized Latin square A of order n, which contains at least $0.75n^2$ symbols. A symbol is a *singleton* if it appears exactly once in A. We refer to the other symbols as *repetitions*. A submatrix is called a *singleton-*, resp. *repetition-submatrix* if every entry of the matrix is a singleton, resp. repetition.

Let p be the number of rows consisting only of repetitions and q be the number of columns consisting only of repetitions. We refer to these as full rows and columns, and assume that $q \leq p$. Notice that $p \leq n/2$, since the number of symbols is at least $0.75n^2$.

Our aim is to choose a partial transversal that covers all full rows and columns, and then we complete this to a transversal by adding only singletons. First we apply Lemma 2.5 to get a partial transversal that covers the full rows and columns. Next, we omit the rows and columns that are covered by the chosen partial transversal. We obtain a generalized Latin square A' of order $(n-p)$ in Case (a) or of order $(n-p/2-q)$ in Case (b). Now we are done by Theorem 2.6, if there are no $x \times y$ repetition-submatrices in A' of order $(n-p)$ in Case (a), where $x+y > n-p$, or there are no $x \times y$ repetition-submatrices in A' of order $(n-p/2-q)$ in Case (b), where $x+y > n-p/2-q$.

We suppose to the contrary that such a repetition-submatrix exists in one of the cases. Note first that in either case, A' does not contain full rows and columns. Therefore, we can choose a singleton σ_1 in A' such that at least x repetitions appear in its row. Similarly, we can choose a singleton σ_2 in A' such that at least y repetitions appear in its column.

Claim 2.8. *There exists a singleton σ whose row or column contains more than $n/2$ repetitions in the original Latin square A both in Case (a) and (b).*

Proof. In Case (a) $q \leq p/2$.
The number of repetitions in the row of σ_1 is at least $q+x$ and number of repetitions in the column of σ_2 is at least $p+y$. Thus the statement holds since $p+q+x+y > p+q+(n-p) \geq n$.

In Case (b), $q > p/2$.
The number of repetitions in the row of σ_1 is at least $q+x$ and number of repetitions in the column of σ_2 is at least $p+y$. Thus the statement holds since $p+q+x+y > p+q+(n-p/2-q) \geq n$. □

In view of Claim 2.8, if we omit the row and column of the singleton σ, we obtain a generalized Latin square B of order $n-1$, which admits more than $0.75n^2-(2n-1)+n/2 > 0.75(n-1)^2$ symbols. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a transversal in B, hence it can be completed to a transversal of A by adding σ. □

3 Discussion

At the time of submission, we learned that Best, Hendrey, Wanless, Wilson and Wood [2] achieved results similar to ours. As the best upper bound, they proved $l(n) < (2-\sqrt{2})n^2$. Nevertheless, not only the conjecture of Akbari and Alipour remained open, but it is plausible that it can be strengthened in the order of magnitude as well. In fact, the bound $\frac{1}{2}n^2$ is intimately related to the number of singletons, which took a crucial part in both our proof and the proof in [2]. If the number of colors does not exceed $\frac{1}{2}n^2$, then there might be no singletons at all. However, our first probabilistic proof implies also that either there exists a transversal in a generalized Latin square of order n with Cn^2 colors ($C > 0.45$), or the number of singletons is large. This fact points out that the constant $1/2$ in Conjecture 1.2 is highly unlikely to be sharp. More precisely, we show the following

Proposition 3.1. *If the number of singletons is less than $(2C + 0.25\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 - 1 + o(1))n^2$ in a generalized Latin square of order n with Cn^2 symbols, then there exists a transversal.*

Proof. Suppose first that in every row and column, the sum $c_{i*}(A)$ and $c_{*j}(A)$ are below $0.25\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3(n^2-n)$. This in turn implies the existence of a transversal by Proposition 2.1. On the other hand, if for example $c_{i*}(A)$ exceeds that bound, then consider only the symbols not appearing in row i, and let us denote by n_k the number of occurrences of symbol k, which does not occur

in row i. Clearly $\sum_k n_k = Cn^2 - n$ and $\sum_k kn_k = (n(n-1) - c_{i*}(A)) \leq (1 - 0.25\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3)(n^2 - n)$. Consequently, for the number of singletons not appearing in the ith row,

$$|\{t : n_t = 1\}| \geq 2\sum_k n_k - \sum_k kn_k \geq (2C + 0.25\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^3 - 1 + o(1))n^2,$$

which makes this case impossible. □

A special case, that appears as a bottleneck in some arguments concerns generalised Latin squares, where each repeated symbol has maximum multiplicity. We show that also in this special case one can find a transversal.

Lemma 3.2. *If A is a generalised Latin square of order n, where each symbol has multiplicity 1 or n (and both multiplicities occur), then A has a transversal.*

Proof. We associate an edge-colored complete bipartite graph G_A to A such that vertices on one side correspond to rows the other side to columns and the colors of the edges to the symbols. Our goal is to find a multicolored matching.

Notice that the Latin property implies that a symbol with multiplicity n corresponds to a perfect matching. Let us remove all edges corresponding to symbols with multiplicity n. If there are r such colors, then the remaining bipartite graph is $(n-r)$-regular. As an easy corollary of Hall's theorem, any regular bipartite graph contains a perfect matching. In our case there are only singleton colors on the edges, so the perfect matching is multicolored. □

It seems likely that if the number of colors is large, then we not only obtain one transversal, but also a set of disjoint transversals. This motivates the study of the following function.

Definition 3.3. *Let $l^*(n)$ be the least integer such that for any proper edge-coloring of $K_{n,n}$ by at least $l^*(n)$ colors, the colored graph can be decomposed into the disjoint union of n multicolored perfect matchings.*

Conjecture 3.4. *$l^*(n) \leq n^2/2$ if n is large enough.*

We remark that the difference of $l(n)$ and $l^*(n)$ is at least linear in n.

Proposition 3.5. *$l^*(n) - l(n) \geq n - 1$.*

Proof. For $n \leq 2$ the claim is straightforward. Suppose $n \geq 3$. By definition, there exists a transversal-free generalized Latin square A of order n with $l(n)-1$ symbols. Since $l(n) \leq 0.75n^2$, we can find a set S of $n-1$ different repetitions, where $n - 1 \leq 0.25n^2$. We assign new symbols to the entries of S to create a new generalized Latin square A' of the same order. Since S cannot cover n disjoint transversals, and there were no transversals disjoint to S, matrix A' cannot be decomposed to n transversals, but contains $l(n) + n - 2$ symbols. □

The question we studied concerning $l(n)$ clearly has an anti-Ramsey flavor. The anti-Ramsey number $AR(n, \mathcal{G})$ for a graph family $\mathcal{G}$, introduced by Erdős, Simonovits and Sós [6], is the maximum number of colors in an edge coloring of K_n that has no multicolored (rainbow) copy of any graph in $\mathcal{G}$. To emphasize this connection, we propose the following problem.

Problem 3.6. *What is the least number of colors $t(n, 2)$, which guarantees a rainbow 2-factor subgraph on at least $n - 1$ vertices in a properly edge-colored complete graph K_n colored by at least $t(n, 2)$ colors?*

Perhaps the size $n-1$ of the 2-factor subgraph seems artificial in some sense at first, or at least it could be generalized to any given function $f(n)$. We recall that for the function $t(n,1)$ corresponding to 1-factors, Woolbright and Fu provided the following related result. In Problem 3.6, we have to allow two values $n-1$ and n to avoid parity issues.

Proposition 3.7. *[13] Every properly colored K_{2n} has a multicolored 1-factor if the number of colors is at least $2n-1$ and $n>2$. That is, $t(n,1)=n-1$.*

In another formulation, the necessary number of colors for a proper edge-coloring is already sufficient to guarantee a multicolored perfect matching. It might happen that it also forces a much larger structure as required in Problem 3.6. We propose the following

Conjecture 3.8. *Any proper edge-coloring of K_{2n} by $2n-1$ colors contains a multicolored 2-factor on $2n-1$ or $2n$ vertices.*

If the above conjecture fails, then possibly there are proper edge-colorings of K_n without multicolored 2-factors of size n or $n-1$. In that case, we can use a connection between $t(n,2)$ and $l(n)$ to show a lower bound.

Proposition 3.9. $l(n) \geq t(n,2)+1$.

Proof. Consider an edge-coloring C of the complete graph K_n on vertex set V without multicolored 2-factors of size n or $n-1$. We associate to C a coloring of the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ on partite classes U and W as follows: let us assign the color of $v_iv_j \in E(K_n)$ $(i,j \in [1,n])$ to the edge $u_iw_j \in E(K_{n,n})$ if $i \neq j$, and color the set of independent edges u_iw_i $(i \in [1,n])$ by a separate color. Suppose that we found a multicolored 1-factor M in the complete bipartite graph. We omit at most one edge of M if we delete the edges u_iv_i and M' remains. Consider the edges v_kv_l in K_n, for which u_kv_l is contained in the multicolored M' of edges. This edge set is multicolored too, and each vertex has degree 2. □

References

[1] Akbari, S., Alipour, A. (2004). Transversals and multicolored matchings. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, 12(5), 325–332.

[2] Best, D., Hendrey, K., Wanless, I. M., Wilson, T. E., Wood, D. R. (2016). Transversals in Latin arrays with many distinct symbols. arXiv preprint. arXiv:1612.09443.

[3] Barát, J., Wanless, I. (2014). Rainbow matchings and transversals. Australasian Journal of Combinatorics, **59(1)**, 211–217.

[4] Bissacot, R., Fernández, R., Procacci, A., Scoppola, B. (2011). An improvement of the Lovász local lemma via cluster expansion. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, **20(5)**, 709–719.

[5] Brualdi, R. A., Ryser, H. J. Combinatorial Matrix theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (1991).

[6] Erdős, P., Simonovits, M., Sós, V. T. Anti-Ramsey theorems, Infinite and finite sets (Colloq., Keszthely, 1973; dedicated to P. Erdős on his 60th birthday), Vol II, 633–643. In Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai (Vol. 10).

[7] Erdős, P., Spencer, J. (1991) Lopsided Lovász local lemma and Latin transversals, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **30**, 151–154

[8] Fujita, S., Magnant, C., Ozeki, K. (2010). Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory: a survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **26(1)**, 1–30.

[9] Kano, M., Li, X. (2008). Monochromatic and heterochromatic subgraphs in edge-colored graphs – a survey. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **24(4)**, 237–263.

[10] Laywine, C. F., Mullen, G. L. (1998). Discrete mathematics using Latin squares (Vol. 49). John Wiley & Sons.

[11] Scott, A. D., Sokal, A. D. (2005). The repulsive lattice gas, the independent-set polynomial, and the Lovász local lemma. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, **118(5-6)**, 1151–1261.

[12] Stein, S. K. Transversals of Latin squares and their generalizations. *Pacific J. Math.* **59** (1975), 567–575.

[13] Woolbright, D. E., Fu, H. L. (1998). On the existence of rainbows in 1-factorizations of K_{2n}. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs*, **6(1)**, 1–20.

[14] Wanless, I. M. (2011). Transversals in Latin squares: A survey. Surveys in Combinatorics, Cambridge University Press.